FAITHFULLY YOURS



Geelong Obedience Dog Club Inc Breakwater Road, Belmont Common GEELONG VIC 3220 Phone: 5243 4555 April 2012 Issue No 230

GEELONG OBEDIENCE DOG CLUB INC Patron – Mr Fred Lehrmann Office Bearers for 2011/2012

PRESIDENT VICE-PRESIDENT (1) VICE-PRESIDENT (2) SECRETARY TREASURER TRIAL SECRETARY	Leonie Kelleher Colin Humphreys Stephen Eldridge Pam Convery Vacant Janelle Convery	0417358149 52 822 683 52 414 786 52 414 786	Public Officer Training Administration Property Officer
GENERAL COMMITTEE	Kathy Taylor Roland Linford Victor Douglas John Taylor Rebecca Kearney Alex Shackleton	52 411 886 0448415401 52 411 886	Canteen Manager Equipment Manager Grounds Manager Hall Manager Social Secretary/Publicity Officer Shop Manager
NON-COMMITTEE	John Shields Christine Jagtenberg Vacant Robyn Youl Stephen Eldridge Pam Convery Brendan Jagtenberg	52 641 002 0438 414 917 52 414 786 0410 261 393	Training Supervisor Membership Officer Minutes Officer Librarian Assistant Librarian Newsletter Editor Webmaster

The opinions expressed in this Newsletter are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the opinion or policy of the Editor or the Geelong Obedience Dog Club Inc. All Committee and Sub-Committee reports bear the name of the respective Club Officers. The Editor and/or Committee reserves the right to correct, alter, re-arrange or refuse, without prejudice, any copy submitted for publication.

Weekday Office Hours:- Monday, 3.00pm to 7.00pm

All correspondence should be addressed to:-THE SECRETARY PO BOX 186 GEELONG VIC 3220

If you would like to contribute articles etc. for publication in "Faithfully Yours", please forward them to The Editor, c/- the above address, hand in at the Office or email to Pam Convery at janelle.convery@bigpond.com

Please remember the closing date for all Newsletter items is the 15th of each month



Club website - www.godc.org.au

CLUB CALENDAR

<u>Aprii</u>		
Sunday	1 April	Normal Training. Newsletter Available
Tuesday	3 April	General Meeting – 8.00 pm unless otherwise advised.
Sunday	8 April	Easter Weekend – NO TRAINING
Saturday	14 April	BACK-TO-BACK AGILITY TRIALS
Sunday	15 April	BACK-TO-BACK AGILITY TRIALS. NO TRAINING
Sunday	15 April	Newsletter closes for articles, reports etc
Monday	16 April	Instructor's Meeting – 7.30 pm
Sunday	22 April	Fun Day including presentations. Also Induction for New Members for
	_	Beginners Class and Fully Vaccinated Puppies Under 6 months
Sunday	29 April	Normal Training for current Members. New Members Commence Training
	-	-

CLUB INSTRUCTORS

Vice President (Training) Training Supervisor Assistant Training Supervisors Colin Humphreys John Shields Kim Ciezarek Desma Dickeson

Obedience

Lyn Wills Megan Young Kath Devlin Christine Jagtenberg Wendy Ganly

A pril

Trish Gavaghan Bev Petrass Susan Axe

Wendy Ganly

Sally Hunt

Colin Humphreys John Shields Desma Dickeson Victor Douglas

<u>Agility</u>

Glenys Murray Lyn Wills Carole Sparks *Rally O*

Flyball Nicole Timms

Val Moeller Kim Ciezarek David Gravolin Tony Stewart

Alex Shackleton Vanessa Bridges



A healthy pet for life

NATURE'S GIFT



REPORTS PRESIDENT'S REPORT

REPORTS REI

REPORTS

Hi everyone,

Wow here we are in the middle of March, where has the last month gone? So far this year we have been extremely lucky with the weather, but bear in mind Summer has finished, so now we could get anything so remember to always come to training prepared. For our new Members when training has to change due to weather conditions we will let you know via a special Gossip Sheet and also on the Face book page.

We recently held our first NADAC Trial, and a special thanks must go to Janelle Convery for a smooth running day. The day started rather early and it was great to see so much enthusiasm from Club Members to help set the ring up and get the Trial under way. Thanks to Kathy and her team in the Canteen for keeping everyone fed and watered. It was great to see some of the Members enter their first NADAC Trial, I hope you got the bug and will be back for more! A special thanks to Bev Petress for her support and guidance for our new Members, I am sure Bev that you helped calm the nerves. Our next Trials will be held in April 14th and 15th so keep some time free and come and help out or come and watch and see what you may achieve with your dog/s.

A special thanks to the Members who have supported the Clubs fund raising efforts your help is as always very much appreciated, if you have ideas or suggestions please come and see a Committee Member. The Club is still in need of a Treasurer so if you would like to know more or would be willing to do the role, please come forward and let us know.

Happy training, enjoy your dogs Leonie Kelleher - President

GEELONG TRIAL WRAP UP

Well Geelong's first NADAC trial has come and gone. The day turned out to be a glorious Autumn day with lots of sunshine and not a drop of rain to be seen. Our Judge's for the day were Malcolm Curley who was supervised by Wendy Napier. They put together some very challenging courses that put a lot of handlers to the test. One highlight for the day was Sandy Stockman and Sam gaining an Elite Q which qualified them for their third NATCH.

Thanks must go to Pam Convery, Rebecca Kearney and Leonie Kelleher who were a great help in the lead up to the Trial and on the Trial day taking a lot of the pressure off me to allow me to run my dogs also in the Trial. Thanks also go to the Geelong Members who came down very early in the morning to help with the setup of the ring and to Kathy Taylor and the workers who volunteered to help and kept the Canteen going all day.

I would also like to thank Shirlene Clark and Vanessa Bridges who were a very big help with answering all my questions with patience. There were lots of emails going back and forth leading up to the Trial date.

Results:

Highest Scoring Novice – Sandy Stockman & Jet Highest Scoring Geelong Novice – Helen Frawley & Maize Highest Scoring Open & Highest Score Geelong Open – Janelle Convery & Mason Highest Scoring Elite – Shirlene Clark & Kansas Highest Scoring Geelong Elite – Carole Sparks & Lacey

Would love to see you all come back and do it all again in July.

Cheers - Janelle Convery - Trial Secretary

TRIAL RESULTS

<u>25th Februar</u>	<u>ry - Moorabl</u>	<u>oin</u>		
K Bell	Lady	Jumpers	1 st Place	Q
		Regular 1	1 st Place	Q
Saturday 25 l	February 201	2		
J Convery	Mason	Elite Regular	1 st Place	
		Elite Regular	1^{st} Place Q	
"Highest Sco	ring Elite in '	Trial"		
	7 1			
VCA Agility			cth DI	oth D
V Bridges	Bryce	Masters Jumping	5 th Place	8 th Pass
Saturday 10	th March – B	allarat		
K Bell	Lady	Chances		Q
	5	Jumpers	1 st Place	Q
S Axe	Hector	Jumpers Elite	1 st Place	
		Regular 1 Open	2 nd Place	
		Touch n Go Open	1 st Place	
L Kelleher	Branko	Hoopers	3 rd Place	Q
		Jumpers	1 st Place	Q
		Regular 1	3 rd Place	×
		Regular 2	2^{nd} Place	0
J Cooke	Jimmy	Hoopers	2 11400	Q Q
5 COOKC	Uning	Jumpers		Q
		Regular		Q
		Weavers		Q O
J Convery	Mason	Open Chances		
J Convery	Widson	Open Hoopers	1 st Place	Q O
		Elite Jumpers	1 st Place	X
		Elite Regular	1 st Place	
		Elite Regular	1 st Place	Q
		Open Touch n Go	2^{nd} Place	Q
V Bridges	Peyto	Novice Hoopers	2 nd Place	0
V Benham	Cassie	Regular 1	1 st Place	•
V Demiani	Cassie	Regular 2	3 rd Place	Q
	Barkley	Regular 2 Regular 2	1 st Place	Q Q
	Darkiey	Regulal 2	I Flace	Q
Sunday 11 th	March – Bal	llarat		
K Bell	Lady	Jumpers	1 st Place	Q
		Hoopers	1 st Place	
S Axe	Hector	Chances Open		Q Q Q
		Hoopers Novice	4 th Place	Q
		Jumpers Elite	2 nd Place	-
		Regular 1 Open	1 st Place	
		Regular 2 Open	1 st Place	
		Weavers Open	4 th Place	
L Kelleher	Branko	Regular 1	3 rd Place	
		Regular 2	3 rd Place	
		0		

TRIAL RESULTS

Sunday 11th March – Ballarat J Convery Mason Elite Chances Q 1st Place **Open Hoopers** 1st Place Elite Jumpers Q Q Q 1st Place Elite Regular 3rd Place **Open Weavers** "Highest Scoring Open in Trial" 5th Pass 1st Place V Bridges Bryce Elite Hoopers 10th Pass Elite Jumpers 1st Place O-EJC Title – Outstanding Elite Jumpers Title 7th Pass Open Weavers 1st Place 5th Place V Benham Cassie Hoopers Q 2nd Place Jumpers Open 4th Place Regular 1 3rd Place Barkley Hoopers Q Q Q 1st Place Jumpers 1st Place Regular 1 2nd Place Regular 2 1st Place Q] Weavers Title

Monday 12th March – Ballarat

S Axe	Hector	Extreme chances	89.33
		Extreme gaters	84.50
		Extreme hoopers	56.83
V Bridges	Bryce	Extreme Noviee gaters	85
		Extreme Novice Hoopers	114
V Benham	Cassie	Extreme gaters	3 rd Place
	Barkley	Extreme Hoopers	5 th Place



1st Victorian Dancing With Dogs Trial Presented by the Ballaarat Dog Obedience Club



On Sunday 18th March, Gambit, Jorja, Pinkie and I headed off to the Ballaarat Dog Obedience Club as we had entered the club's 1st and Victoria's 1st ever Victorian Dancing With Dogs Trial for the year. Which I must add was a Huge Success.

Dancing With Dogs! What is that I hear you saying. Well it combines some Heelwork and Trick moves done to music.

Heelwork To Music was included as a new event on the VCA club's Calender for 2012 involving dogs and handlers entering either Freestyle or Heelwork to Music. Freestyle is where the dogs show off their tricks

in a routine lasting from 1-4 minutes. HTM is all about heeling with a few tricks thrown in so it's not a boring routine.

If you want to view what DWD is all about go to You Tube and do a search for Mary Ray or Richard Curtis or Carolyn Scott doing their routines. You will be amazed at what you see the dogs do.

I'm pleased to say that Gambit and I got our 2nd Novice Pass as well as being placed 2nd in Novice HTM. Want to find out more then go to

http://www.danceswithdogsaustralia.com

Big Three Days of Agility in Ballarat:

What a great long weekend of agility at the 'Rat'!

Two days of Agility and a day of Extreme games. The weather was fine with an icy wind but thankfully no rain, floods or extreme heat

A huge Geelong contingency, about 16 dogs, displayed some great runs with lots of Q's. Special mention of Janelle and Mason for achieving their Superior title in ?. Also Caroline and Rupert, in just their second event, achieved numerous Q's

Also congratulations to Janet and Rosie for achieving a NATCH title.

The first day of extreme games competition held in Australia provided a steep learning curve of rules and point deductions seen in this type of agility. An extreme game has no contact or jump equipment and relies on teamwork and path-drawing sequence accuracy in a defined timeframe. We saw some speedy runs by Carol/Lacey and Vanessa/Bryce. Everyone had great fun in this fast moving agility. More days of extreme games will follow where it can be fitted into the schedule.



Tiring but rewarding weekend with some great social moments (Wendy playing the pianola at dinner), laughs and wonderful runs.

Thanks everyone

(Thanks Leonie for the photos) Julie C

Red Cross Collection – Waurn Ponds Shopping Centre Thank you to the Members who took part on the day. We collected over \$900.00 and the dogs enjoyed themselves.





LESSONS from the MASTERS: Learning About Punishment from Dogs Themselves Copyright 2000 Suzanne Clothier. All rights reserved. Used by permission

<u>NOTE:</u> This originally appeared in the April/May 2002 APDT newsletter, written <u>at the request</u> of the newsletter editor after I had written a Letter to the Editor noting that there was a lot we could learn about an appropriate & fair use of punishment from dogs themselves. This is NOT a defence of punitive training methodology - and "punishment" is defined as all students of operant conditioning understand it to be defined: one of the four possible consequences of a behaviour.

There's an old lady I know who has been training puppies for years. And she does a very good job of it. Funny thing, though, she routinely uses positive punishment as part of her approach. Always has, always will. She's completely unaware of learning theories, has never attended a conference or seminar, and never once read a book about dogs. Yet the puppies she's trained are happy, respectful, and well mannered, and calmly attentive to her subtlest gesture.



How is it that she uses positive punishment to such good effect, without creating desensitisation or panic or resentment in her pupils? And how does she do it

without collar, leash, head halter, treats or clickers? Because this old lady is a dog. This experienced trainer of puppies is my retired brood bitch Carson.

There's a growing tendency among many dog trainers to denounce the use of positive punishment (P+), though properly defined it means only this: "the presentation of an undesirable consequence." For many trainers, P+ is a bit of jargon heavily laden with ugly images of pain, fear and outright cruelty. And there's no denying that historically, dog training has leaned heavily on punitive methodology, much of which is thinly disguised abuse in the name of training. But when we mistakenly equate P+ with abuse, we are ignoring what dogs themselves can tell us about the value of P+.

Whether we like it or not, P+ is quite natural in animal-to-animal interactions. For example, Carson is resting on a sofa. The puppy Ruby approaches, thinking about jumping up to share the space. Hardening her eyes and holding her head very still, Carson growls softly, her message clear: "Leave me alone." Ruby ignores this. Carson escalates the warning to a loud, scary air snap, and the puppy dances back a step but returns almost immediately, clearly thinking this may be a new game. Carson's next move is a threatening lunge that ends in grabbing the offending puppy by the muzzle for a few beats. Now Ruby gets the message and wisely retreats.

As classically defined, Carson's intent in meting out this punishment is to "decrease the likelihood of the behaviour in the future." P+ adds something unpleasant as a consequence for a behaviour. Being no fool, Ruby learns that jumping on top of her grandmother has unpleasant consequences; an unoccupied sofa is a better choice. P+ is employed by dogs among themselves all the time.

Dire warnings about the effects of using P+ have their basis in fact. Improperly applied, P+ *can* undermine the relationship, can lead to desensitisation, abuse, cruelty, panic. Though these unhappy results are often presented as an inevitable outcome of employing P+, the truth is that these result from the use of poorly applied or extreme P+. If P+ was destructive to relationships, there would be no cohesive pack structure possible among canids. But dogs and wolves do use P+ and still have strong, affectionate, trusting relationships. Clearly then, the problem lies not with P+ itself, but in our application of it. Learning how to effectively use P+ requires that we look to our dogs for clues.

Learning about Punishment from Dogs Themselves – Suzanne Clothier

Properly applied with good timing, clarity and appropriateness of scale (something any socially skilled dog does with an ease that leaves human trainers in awe), P+ makes increasing subtlety of gesture possible. Having used P+ to convince Ruby to nap elsewhere, Carson will not have to escalate the punishment the next time. In fact, she will be able to use less to make the same point. As Ruby learns to read Carson more accurately, the stillness coupled with a hardening eye will be sufficient warning. Dog language is built around nuance and subtlety.

But it is in nuance and subtlety where we often fail in our application of P+. We do not start with stillness and shifts in our eyes and breathing. Often, we begin where Carson ended – grabbing for Ruby and "correcting" her. The puppy has no chance to learn that there are subtleties that should be heeded. From the dog's perspective, we rocket from completely unconcerned to furious action without warning – a scary situation for a dog that can and does erode the relationship. There's no appropriate beginning to our scale of warnings, and no way to back up from there; we are crude, unsophisticated communicators who do not observe proper canine protocol of escalating warnings.

We can become better trainers through careful study of the nuances of how dogs do what they do, and employing the same subtlety in our own communications. Here's an example – Ruby approaches while I'm eating lunch. My first response is to stop chewing, hold my head very still and harden my eyes a bit. If ignored, I then very slowly turn my head toward Ruby, hardening my eyes further. Ignored, I lift a lip – just a bit – while still pointedly staring at the offender. Ignored, I growl and escalate the lip lift. Still ignored, I growl louder, longer, and finally, lunge toward her with a threat bark and an air snap. This effective and very "canine" approach does not ruin our relationship in any way. Ruby understands this because it matches what other dogs are also teaching her. Like the other dogs, eventually, I can just offer the stillness and hardened eyes as warning. No equipment or treats or clickers needed – just the power of natural interaction shaped in a way the dog can easily understand, without the emotional overtones often present in human/dog interactions.

When Ruby retreats and sits, I quickly switch to offer positive reinforcement for this desirable behaviour. Like all social creatures, dogs need two kinds of information from others. They need to know when they are right, and when they are wrong. Though some trainers refuse to give anything but positive responses, nothing in the dog's culture that supports that lopsided approach. A socially sophisticated dog becomes just that because other dogs told him both when he was right ("I'll keep playing with you since you're behaving nicely") and when he was wrong ("don't bump into me again!"). With a clear understanding of what is right and what is wrong, Ruby can make a choice.

Though we may pride ourselves on being positive trainers, we may be surprised to recognize that we do use

punishment, however mildly, from time to time. "Timeouts," disengaging from too rough play, or simply withdrawing our attention from a dog – all are punishment, and all may used as part of a humane approach. The use of punishment (+ or -) doesn't mean we are bad trainers. P+ is merely an "undesirable consequence"; we have the full responsibility for deciding how unpleasant that consequence will be. As with any consequence for a behaviour, we will be most successful as trainers when we employ P+ appropriately with awareness, and when we can move past our emotional response to the mere mention of punishment.

As dogs and other social animals show us, P+ may be used effectively without destroying the relationship. They also show us that timing, subtlety, appropriateness of scale, and clarity is the critical ingredients in influencing another's behaviour. When we learn from dogs, we learn from the best.



General Meeting 7th February 2012

Meeting opened: 8.05pm

Apologies: R Kearney, P Gavaghan, S Hunt, M Brown, S Axe, C Sparks, E Longhaw, B Thorogood, J Hole,			
G Murray, C Jagtenberg			
Accepted: K Devlin	Seconded: J Ball	Carried	
Minutos from provious Conorol N	lasting as distributed		
Minutes from previous General M	-	Corrised	
Accepted: J Convery	Seconded: J Taylor	Carried	
Business Arising from Minutes 1. Rally O Times have been	finalised and are underway. Propos	sal as written by Wendy Ganly.	
Correspondence Inward: S Mal	ady		
Correspondence Outwards: Nil			
Business Arising from Correspo			
•	tter of thanks be sent to S Malady.		
Seconded: K Taylor		Carried	
Treasurers Report – Presented b	by L Kelleher in the absence of the	Treasurer	
Business arising from Treasure	-		
Treasurer's Report Accepted: J Ta	aylor seconded: A Shacklet	on Carried	
Deports			
Reports Canteen Manager	Written report attached to the Min	nutes Book	
Grounds Manager	-		
Hall Manager	Written report attached to the Minutes Book		
Shop Manager	Written report attached to the Minutes Book		
Social Secretary	Written report attached to the Minutes Book		
Training Supervisor	Nil Weitten report attached to the Minutes Deek		
0 1	Written report attached to the Minutes Book Written report attached to the Minutes Book		
Trial Secretary	written report attached to the Min	nutes book	
Sub Committee reports			
Instructors Meeting	Nil		
Reports Accepted: K Ciezarek	Seconded: C Humphreys	Carried	
General Business			
•••	-	very handed out copies of the proposed	
changes to the Obedience	-	ne discussion J Convery moved 'that the	

Seconded: P Convery

Carried

- 2. Dancing with Dogs Seminar. L Kelleher spoke about the proposed Seminar and Members agreed it was a good idea. Date and price to be finalised
- 3. Social Class guidelines. Social Class guidelines were read out and agreed to by Members.
- 4. Red Cross calling. Proposed dates 3rd or 24th March 9.00am to 2.00pm. Date to be finalised.
- 5. Solicitor has Constitution completed and is ready for collection. K Millard to collect.

- 6. J Shields moved "that the position of Training Manager be reinstated" Seconded: C Humphreys Carried
- 7. W Ganly said that the Agility Equipment was blocking access to the Obedience Equipment for Trial training Monday nights. After some discussion J Convery moved "that we sell the Agility Trailer which would leave more room in the storeroom".

Seconded W Ganly

Carried

A Silent Auction was suggested and agreed to.

Meeting closed 9.15pm



THE 4 D's – Train for a Reliable Response

Help your dog become reliable in all situations by varying the practice situation. Most trainers call this "proofing" the exercise. Try not to change more than one aspect of an exercise at once. The typical variables for Obedience exercises all start with the letter D. Change only one "D" at a time.

DELIVERY - of reward

Very early on in the training you will want to randomize the delivery of your reward. Start to reward only the very best of the behaviours. If you're changing another D Criteria, you may want to go back to delivering the rewards on a higher ratio.

DISTANCE

The "come when called", if the dog is 3 metres away from you. Now it's time to try moving away a little further.

DURATION

The dog is steady on her Sit Stay for 15 seconds, now it's time to try extending the exercise a little.

DIVERSITY - of context

The dog performs well in her usual, calm training environment (home, yard, Obedience Class), now it's time to complicate matters a little by introducing distractions or taking her to a new training site, which is distraction enough in itself. Will she walk as nicely on a slack leash in the park, as in your back yard? Will she sit and stay in your living room if you walk to the closet and put on your overcoat? Will she come if called while the doorbell is ringing?

As you're increasing one criteria, decrease another. For example – If you are working on Diversity, decrease Distance and Duration, but increase rate of the Delivery of the reward

Terry Ryan – Legacy by mail - Pullman, Washington



Julie Hole has a desk available if anyone can make use of it. Free to good home. See Julie or call into the Office.

CANINE ANATOMY

